

## Consultation Response

### IGT112V: Refinements to the RPC Template

Responses invited by: 06 Dec 2018

#### Respondent Details

Name: Chris Barker

Organisation: BUUK Infrastructure

Support Implementation ☒

Qualified Support ☐

Neutral ☐

Do Not Support ☐

#### Please briefly summarise the key reason(s) for your support / opposition

We support the intent and proposed solution of this change. The Technical Workgroup created to assess and determine the appropriate changes to the RPC Template has worked well and should serve as a suitable platform for similar such changes.

With the implementation date providing six months for the required system changes, this will provide a suitable amount of time for the minor amendments to be made and ensure effective use of the amended template going forwards.

## Self-Governance Statement

**Do you agree with the Modification Panel's determination with respect to whether or not this should be a self-governance modification?**

It is agreed that there is no material impact and as such is suitable for Self-Governance procedures.

## Please state any new or additional issues that you believe should be considered

N/A

## Relevant Objectives

**How would implementation of this modification impact the relevant objectives?**

We agree with the workgroups assessment that Relevant Objective F is better facilitated by this change. By refining the RPC template and providing clarity, this will ensure that the process is robust and therefore the implementation of the code is carried out more efficiently.

## Impacts and Costs

**What development and ongoing costs would you face if this modification was implemented?**

While there is an impact on IT systems, these are minimal with low costs. The prescribed six months to implement the change will provide enough time to make the necessary amendments.

## Implementation

**What lead time would you wish to see prior to this modification being implemented, and why?**

As stated above the usual six-monthly period for system changes will provide enough time for internal changes to be made to meet the requirements of the change and June 2019 as suggested by the workgroup is a suitable implementation date.

## **Legal Text**

### **Are you satisfied that the legal text will deliver the intent of the modification?**

Following multiple successful Technical Workgroups, we are confident the template meets the requirements for an enduring solution. We are also satisfied with the legal texts change of name to the template which more accurately portrays the purpose and use of the document.

## **Further Comments**

### **Is there anything further you wish to be taken into account?**

N/A

**Responses should be submitted by email to [IGTUNC@gemserv.com](mailto:IGTUNC@gemserv.com)**